arXiv:1412.7029v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 22 Dec 2014

On the von Neumann entropy of a bath linearly coupled to a driven quantum system

Erik Aurell*
Dept. of Computational Biology and ACCESS Linnaeus Centre and Center for Quantum Materials, KTH – Royal Institute of Technology, AlbaNova University Center, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden
Depts. Information and Computer Science and Applied Physics, Aalto University, Espoo, Finland

Ralf Eichhorn†
Nordita, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

The change of the von Neumann entropy of a set of harmonic oscillators initially in thermal equilibrium and interacting linearly with an externally driven quantum system is computed by adapting the Feynman-Vernon influence functional formalism. This quantum entropy production has the form of the expectation value of three functionals of the forward and backward paths describing the system history in the Feynman-Vernon theory. In the classical limit of Kramers-Langevin dynamics (Caldeira-Leggett model) these functionals combine to three terms, where the first is the entropy production functional of stochastic thermodynamics, the classical work done by the system on the environment in units of k_BT, the second another functional with no analogue in stochastic thermodynamics, and the third is a boundary term.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Yz,05.70.Ln,05.40.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of fluctuation relations [1–3] has transformed classical out-of-equilibrium thermodynamics, giving rise to the new field of stochastic thermodynamics [4–6]. A central idea of the field is that classical termodynamics can be extended to single, usually mesoscopic, systems where a surrounding medium takes the role of a heat bath [7]. The fundamental quantity in stochastic thermodynamics is δS_{env}, the entropy production in the environment. Mathematically, this quantity can be defined as the log-ratio of transition probabilities in a forward and a reversed process [8], and fluctuation relations then follow as tautological identities [9, 10].

A heat bath is an idealization of a large environment relaxing on a much faster time scale than the system of interest. Hence, the heat bath is arbitrarily close to thermal equilibrium at all times, and the entropy production of the system is nothing but the change of the (thermodynamic) entropy of the bath. By Clausius' formula this gives $\delta S_{env} = \beta\delta Q$ where δQ is the energy (heat) transferred from the system to the bath. Physically, fluctuation relations are non-trivial because it is not obvious that the log-ratio way of defining δS_{env} is the same as $\beta\delta Q$. Indeed, although in retrospect a fairly straightforward fact, for standard physical kinetics without memory (master equations, diffusion equations) this equivalence has only been widely appreciated for a decade and a half [11–13].

Potential extensions of statistical thermodynamics and fluctuation relations to the quantum domain have been extensively investigated, and reviewed in [14, 15]. However, with the exception of the Jarzynski equality and Crooks' fluctuation theorem in closed quantum systems [16], the results obtained to date lack the generality and simplicity of fluctuation relations in classical systems. In open quantum systems specific assumptions such as that the dynamics is unital (superoperator preserves the unit operator) [17], or that the quantum jump method [18–20] or the Lindblad formalism [21] can be applied, seem to be needed. The task is nontrivial since entropy production, as work and heat, is not a standard quantum operator. Indeed, according to the original proposal for the simplest case of closed quantum system work depends on two quantum measurements [16], while in a recent proposal involving only one measurement [22], a second quantum system is needed to keep track of the work.

The first goal of this contribution is to show that a natural extension to the quantum domain of the thermodynamic version of δS_{env} can be investigated analytically by adapting the method of Feynman and Vernon [23]. This extension, which we will call $\overline{\delta S_q}$, is the change of the von Neumann entropy of a heat bath between two measurements on the system. In the context of stochastic thermodynamics a quantity equivalent to $\overline{\delta S_q}$ was introduced in [24], and more recently investigated in [25].

In the Feynman-Vernon method the bath is taken to consist of harmonic oscillators initially in thermal equilibrium and linearly coupled to the system, which allows for integrating out the bath. As a result $\overline{\delta S_q}$ can be written as the (quantum) expectation value of three functionals of the system history, similar but not identical to the real and imaginary actions S_i and S_r in the Feynman-Vernon theory. In the classical limit of a Brownian particle, where the system development is described by a Kramers-Langevin equation [26], these expectation values combine to two averages over the (classical) stochastic process, which will call $\overline{\delta S_{env}}$ and $\overline{\delta S_{var}}$, and a boundary term, which we will call ΔS_b. $\overline{\delta S_{env}}$ is the average over the pro-

* eaurell@kth.se
† eichhorn@nordita.org

cess and over a finite time of δS_{env}, the standard entropy production functional in stochastic thermodynamics. By Clausius' formula and for Kramers-Langevin dynamics δS_{env} equals $\beta(\delta Q_{friction}+\delta Q_{noise})$ where $\delta Q_{friction}$ and δQ_{noise} are the amounts of energy (heat) transmitted from the system to the bath by respectively the friction force and the random force. $\overline{\delta S_{var}}$ is also an average over the same stochastic process, formally the finite part of the square of the random force, and has no analogue in standard stochastic thermodynamics. ΔS_b finally depends only on the (classical) transition probability over a finite time interval, and is hence not a functional of the whole (classical) system history. As will be discussed below this quantity has very different properties than one expects for (classical) entropy production.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section II we define $\overline{\delta S_q}$, equation (1), and show how to express it as a quantum expectation value, equations (2) and (3). In Section III we introduce the Feynman-Vernon formalism and use it to give an expression for the expectation value, equation (10). In Section IV we evaluate this expression and in parallel give standard results of the Feynman-Vernon theory. The three functionals mentioned above then appear in equations (13) and (14). In Section V we introduce the Caldeira-Leggett limit of the Feynman-Vernon model which leads to classical dynamics with noise and friction; the limit of the three functionals is given in equation (15). In Section VI we analyze the three functionals in this limit and separate out ΔS_b, and in Section VII we group the remaining parts into $\overline{\delta S_{env}}$ and $\overline{\delta S_{var}}$. For completeness we also give, in Section VI, a derivation of the Caldeira-Leggett result that the Wigner transform of the Feynman-Vernon propagator for the density matrix goes to the transition probability of the (classical) stochastic process. In Section VIII we consider ΔS_b in the limit of weak coupling between the system and the bath, and in Section IX we sum up and discuss our results. In Appendices A and B we discuss for completeness the time scales involved and higher-order corrections to the Caldeira-Leggett limit.

We end this Introduction by noting that in the classical limit we are limited to averages of the entropy production over a finite time, which only approximates the entropy production functional of stochastic thermodynamics if the time is short. The question of whether quantum entropy production defined as in this paper can also lead to another definition in terms of forward and reversed (quantum) dynamics is left for future work.

II. THE FIRST-ORDER CHANGE OF VON NEUMANN ENTROPY

We consider the setting where a quantum system is prepared in an initial pure state $|i><i|$ at time t_i and then attached to a bath with density operator ρ_B^{eq} describing a state of thermal equilibrium. Over a time period $[t_i, t_f]$ the system and the bath develop in interaction such that the total state at time t_f is ρ_{TOT}^f. At this point a measurement is made of an operator O which depends on the system variables only, with outcome o_f, corresponding to the pure state $|f><f|$ of the system. This happens with probability $P_{if} = \mathrm{Tr}_B <f|\rho_{TOT}^f|f>$. By the measurement postulate the total state after the measurement is $\rho_{TOT}^{f,+} = \frac{1}{P_{if}}|f><f| \oplus \left\langle f|\rho_{TOT}^f|f\right\rangle$, and we can therefore identify the density operator of the bath, after interacting with the system and after the measurement has been performed on the system, as $\rho_B^f = \frac{1}{P_{if}}\left\langle f|\rho_{TOT}^f|f\right\rangle$. We assume $\delta\rho_B = \rho_B^f - \rho_B^{eq}$ to be small, and the first-order change of the bath entropy is then

$$\delta\left(\mathrm{Tr}_B[-\rho_B \log \rho_B]\right) = \mathrm{Tr}_B[-\delta\rho_B \log \rho_B^{eq}] \qquad (1)$$

Equation (1) is our definition of $\overline{\delta S_q}$. In a basis of energy eigenstates $|\underline{n}>=|n_1, n_2, \dots>$ the density operator ρ_B^{eq} is diagonal with elements $<\underline{n}|\rho_B^{eq}|\underline{n}> = \prod_b e^{-\beta\left(E_{n_b}^b - F_b(\beta)\right)}$ where $E^b(n_b)$ is the energy of the bth degree of freedom of the bath in state n_b, and F_b is its free energy at inverse temperature β. The expression in (1) can therefore be written

$$\overline{\delta S_q} = \beta\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\log R_{if}(\epsilon)|_{\epsilon=0} - \beta\sum_b U_b(\beta) \qquad (2)$$

where $U_b(\beta) = \frac{\omega_b\hbar}{2}\coth(\frac{\omega_b\beta\hbar}{2})$ is the internal energy of oscillator b,

$$R_{if}(\epsilon) = \mathrm{Tr}_B[\left\langle f|\rho_{TOT}^f|f\right\rangle e^{-\epsilon H_B}], \qquad (3)$$

and H_B is the Hamiltonian of the bath. Note that $R_{if}(0) = P_{if}$. Equations (2) and (3) are the starting point of our analysis.

III. THE FEYNMAN-VERNON METHOD

The Feynman-Vernon theory is a means to compute P_{if} while we need to compute the slightly more complex quantity $R'_{if}(0)$. Let us begin by noting that the time development of an open quantum system is described by a superoperator or quantum map Φ which maps density operators to density operators, and which can always be realized by adding another system or ancilla in state ρ_a, acting unitarily on the combined system and ancilla, and then tracing out the ancilla $\Phi\rho = \mathrm{Tr}_a[U\left(\rho\oplus\rho_a\right)U^\dagger]$ [27–29]. The Feynman-Vernon approach consist in writing the two unitary operators U and $U^\dagger$ as path integrals while taking the ancilla to be a bath of harmonic oscillators initially in thermal equilibrium, linearly coupled to the system. The total Hamiltonian describing the system and ancilla is thus

$$H = H_S(X,P,t) - X\sum_b C_b x_b + \sum_b \frac{p_b^2}{2m_b} + \frac{m_b\omega_b^2}{2}x_b^2 \quad (4)$$

where C_b is the strength of the interaction between the system and bath oscillator b. Integrating out the bath gives Φ in the coordinate representation as

$$[\Phi\rho(t_i)](X^f,Y^f)=\rho(X^f,Y^f,t_f)= \int dX^i dY^i K(X^f,Y^f,t_f;X^i,Y^i,t_i)\rho(X^i,Y^i,t_i) \quad (5)$$

and the transition probability as

$$P_{if}=\int dX^i dY^i dX^f dY^f \psi_i(X^i)\psi_i^*(Y^i) \psi_f^*(X^f)\psi_f(Y^f)K(X^f,Y^f,t_f;X^i,Y^i,t_i) \quad (6)$$

where ψ_i and ψ_f are the initial and final states in the coordinate representation and K is the Feynman-Vernon propagator of the density operator of the system [23]. The first step in computing K is the path integrals over the bath in $U\left(\rho\oplus\rho_a\right)U^\dagger$ with fixed initial and final position of each bath oscillator. The result of these path integrals is $K_b(q_f,t_f,q_i,t_i;X)K_b^*(q_f',t_f,q_i',t_i;Y)$ where the K_b's are propagators of harmonic oscillators with linear terms in the action, $\int X(s)C_b x_b(s)$ for the forward path, and $\int Y(s)C_b y_b(s)$ for the backward path. The second step is to introduce a coordinate representation of the equilibrium density operator of the bath oscillator, proportional to the propagator in imaginary time. Integrating out the four positions q_i, q_f, q_f' and q_i' then gives the Feynman-Vernon influence functional $\mathcal{F}_b[\{X(s)\},\{Y(s)\}]$ as a four-dimensional integral

$$\mathcal{F}_b=\int d\underline{q}\frac{1}{Z_b(\beta)}K_b(q_i,0,q_i',i\beta\hbar;0)\delta(q_f-q_f') K_b(q_f,t_f,q_i,t_i;X)K_b^*(q_f',t_f,q_i',t_i;Y) \quad (7)$$

where $Z_b=\left(2\sinh\left(\frac{\omega_b\epsilon\hbar}{2}\right)\right)^{-1}$ is the partition function of b at inverse temperature β, and the delta function is the coordinate representation of the trace over the final state of b. The Feynman-Vernon propagator can then be written as double path integral over the system variables only

$$K=\int \mathcal{D}X\mathcal{D}Y e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S_S[X]-S_S[Y])}\prod_b \mathcal{F}_b \quad (8)$$

A comparison of (3) and (7) shows that to compute the first-order change of the von Neumann entropy of the bath we need

$$\mathcal{F}_b^\epsilon=\int d\underline{q}\frac{1}{Z_b(\beta)}K_b(q_i,0,q_i',i\beta\hbar;0)K_b(q_f,0,q_f',i\epsilon\hbar;0) K_b(q_f,t_f,q_i,t_i;X)K_b^*(q_f',t_f,q_i',t_i;Y) \quad (9)$$

in terms of which

$$R'_{if}(0)=\int dX^i dY^i dX^f dY^f \psi_i(X^i)\psi_i^*(Y^i)\psi_f^*(X^f)\psi_f(Y^f) \mathcal{D}X\mathcal{D}Y e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}(S_S[X]-S_S[Y])}\prod_b \mathcal{F}_b\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\left(\sum_b \log\mathcal{F}_b^\epsilon\right)_{\epsilon=0} \quad (10)$$

IV. EVALUATING THE ENTROPY PRODUCTION FUNCTIONAL

The propagator of a harmonic oscillator is the exponential of terms constant, linear and quadratic in the initial and final position. After integrating out these initial and final positions the modified influence functional is

$$\mathcal{F}_b^\epsilon=\frac{(\frac{2\pi\hbar}{\omega m})^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2m\omega\hbar}\underline{u}M^{-1}(\epsilon)\underline{u}+\frac{i}{\hbar}B}}{Z_b(\beta)N_b(i\beta\hbar)|N_b(t)|^2N(i\epsilon\hbar)(\det M(\epsilon))^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (11)$$

where $t=t_f-t_i$, $N_b(t)=\sqrt{\frac{2\pi i\hbar\sin(\omega t)}{m\omega}}$ are the normalizations of the propagators, the constant term B is given by

$$-\frac{C^2}{m\omega\sin\omega t}\int^{t_f}\int^s dsds'\sin\omega(t_f-s)\sin\omega s'X(s)X(s') +\frac{C^2}{m\omega\sin\omega t}\int^{t_f}\int^s dsds'\sin\omega(t_f-s)\sin\omega s'Y(s)Y(s')$$

and the matrix $M(\epsilon)$ and the vector $\underline{u}$ are respectively given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{i}\cot\omega t+\coth\omega\beta\hbar & -\sinh^{-1}\omega\beta\hbar & i\sin^{-1}\omega t & 0\\ -\sinh^{-1}\omega\beta\hbar & i\cot\omega t+\cosh\omega\beta\hbar & 0 & -i\sin^{-1}\omega t\\ i\sin^{-1}\omega t & 0 & \frac{1}{i}\cot\omega t+\coth\omega\epsilon\hbar & -\sinh^{-1}\omega\epsilon\hbar\\ 0 & -i\sin^{-1}\omega t & -\sinh^{-1}\omega\epsilon\hbar & i\cot\omega t+\coth\omega\epsilon\hbar \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} \frac{C}{\sin\omega t}\int^{t_f}ds\sin\omega(t_f-s)X(s)\\ \frac{-C}{\sin\omega t}\int^{t_f}ds\sin\omega(t_f-s)Y(s)\\ \frac{C}{\sin\omega t}\int^{t_f}ds\sin\omega sX(s)\\ \frac{-C}{\sin\omega t}\int^{t_f}ds\sin\omega sY(s) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In above B, C, ω and m are as for oscillator b. The pre-factors of the exponential in (11) combine to $\sinh(\frac{\omega\hbar\beta}{2})\sinh^{-1}(\frac{\omega\hbar(\beta+\epsilon)}{2})$ which contributes to the derivative $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\mathcal{F}_b^\epsilon|_{\epsilon=0}$ a term $-\frac{\omega\hbar}{2}\coth\frac{\omega\hbar\beta}{2}$. This cancels with the term U_b in (2), and the quantity sought is thus

$$\overline{\delta S_q} = \frac{1}{P_{if}} \int dX^i dY^i dX^f dY^f \psi_i(X^i)\psi_i^*(Y^i)\psi_f^*(X^f)\psi_f(Y^f)\mathcal{D}X\mathcal{D}Y e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_S[X]-\frac{i}{\hbar}S_S[Y]} \prod_b \mathcal{F}_b \sum_b \left(\frac{-\beta}{2m_b\omega_b\hbar} \underline{c_b} M_b^{-1\prime}(0) \underline{c_b} \right) \tag{12}$$

Equation (12) is the first result of this paper giving the entropy production in the bath between two measurements on the system as the expected value of a functional of the forward and backward system paths, divided by the transition probability. To proceed further we note that the product $\prod_b \mathcal{F}_b$, which is $e^{\sum_b \left(-\frac{1}{2m_b\omega_b\hbar} \underline{c_b} M_b^{-1}(0) \underline{c_b} + \frac{i}{\hbar} B_b \right)}$, can be written $e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_i - \frac{1}{\hbar}S_r}$ defining the real and imaginary parts of the Feynman-Vernon influence action [23, 26]. These are quadratic functionals of the paths of the system,

$$S_i = \int^{t_f} \int^s (X-Y)(X'+Y') k_i(s-s') ds' ds$$
$$S_r = \int^{t_f} \int^s (X-Y)(X'-Y') k_r(s-s') ds' ds$$

where we write X and Y for quantities at time s and X' and Y' for quantities at the earlier time s', and where the kernels are

$$k_i(s-s') = \sum_b \frac{C_b^2}{2m_b\omega_b} \sin\omega_b(s-s')$$
$$k_r(s-s') = \sum_b \frac{C_b^2}{2m_b\omega_b} \coth(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega_b}{2}) \cos\omega_b(s-s')$$

By a similar analysis as the one leading to S_i and S_r the functional in (12) can be evaluated to $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}[X,Y] + \mathcal{I}^{(2)}[X,Y] + \mathcal{I}^{(3)}[X,Y]$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^{(1)} &= \int^{t_f} \int^s (X-Y)(X'-Y') h^{(1)}(s-s') ds' ds \\ \mathcal{I}^{(2)} &= \int^{t_f} \int^s (XY'-X'Y) h^{(2)}(s-s') ds' ds \\ \mathcal{I}^{(3)} &= \int^{t_f} \int^s (XY'+X'Y) h^{(3)}(s-s') ds' ds \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with the kernels

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(1)} &= -\sum_b \frac{\beta C_b^2}{4m_b} \sinh^{-2}(\tfrac{\beta\hbar\omega_b}{2}) \cos\omega_b(s-s') \\ h^{(2)} &= i\sum_b \frac{\beta C_b^2}{2m_b} \coth(\tfrac{\beta\hbar\omega_b}{2}) \sin\omega_b(s-s') \\ h^{(3)} &= \sum_b \frac{\beta C_b^2}{2m_b} \cos\omega_b(s-s') \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Equations (13) and (14) is the second result of this paper. We note that while $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}$ equals $-\frac{1}{\hbar}\beta\partial_\beta S_r$, $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{(3)}$ are new and non-causal terms, *i.e.* which do not fullfill General Property 5 of influence functionals as discussed on pp 126-127 in [23]. For a physical interpretation we turn to the limit of classical stochastic dynamics.

V. THE CALDEIRA-LEGGETT LIMIT

The classical limit of the Feynman-Vernon theory was computed in [26]. The spectrum of the bath oscillators is then assumed continuous with density $f(\omega)$ such that $f(\omega)C_\omega^2/m(\omega)$ equals $\frac{2\eta\omega^2}{\pi}$ up to some upper cut-off Ω. The parameter η has the dimension ML/T of a classical friction coefficient, and the first kernel in the Feynman-Vernon theory then tends to $k_i \approx -\eta\frac{d}{d(s-s')}\delta(s-s')$. The corresponding action S_i is a potential renormalization plus a term $-\frac{\eta}{2}\int(X-Y)(\dot{X}+\dot{Y})ds$. As a stochastic integral this finite part of S_i has to be interpreted in the post-point (anti-Itô) prescription since the time derivatives stem from the integral over s' up to s. The other kernel k_r has only small contributions for $|\omega| > \frac{1}{\beta\hbar}$ and hence describes, if Ω is large enough, a memory kernel of width $\beta\hbar$, independently of Ω. If all times of interest in the system are longer than $\beta\hbar$ then $S_r \approx \frac{\eta}{\beta\hbar}\int(X-Y)^2 ds$. The different time scales involved are briefly discussed in Appendix A and possible higher-order corrections in Appendix B.

In the same limit as above the three terms in (13) tend to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^{(1)} &= -\tfrac{\eta}{\beta\hbar^2}\int^{t_f}(X-Y)^2\,ds = -\tfrac{1}{\hbar}S_r \\ \mathcal{I}^{(2)} &= i\tfrac{\eta}{\hbar}\int^{t_f}(\dot{X}Y - X\dot{Y})\,ds \\ \mathcal{I}^{(3)} &= \beta\eta\int^{t_f}\dot{X}\dot{Y}ds \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The integral for $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ in (13) can be extended over the whole domain – *i.e.* $\int^{t_f}\int^{t_f}(\cdots)\,ds'ds$ – and expanded around the diagonal ($s=s'$). Contrary to the case of S_i there is therefore not any potential renormalization term from $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ in the Caldeira-Leggett limit. Furthermore, the limit of $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ given in (15) does not depend on the discretization scheme since the Itô contributions cancel. Therefore, we can alternatively write this term as

$$\mathcal{I}^{(2)} = \frac{2i\eta}{\hbar} S_i^{(mid)} + \Delta S_b \tag{16}$$

where

$$\frac{2i\eta}{\hbar} S_i^{(mid)} = -\frac{i\eta}{\hbar}\int(X-Y)(\dot{X}+\dot{Y})ds \tag{17}$$

and

$$\Delta S_b = \frac{i\eta}{\hbar}\int(X\dot{X} - Y\dot{Y})ds \tag{18}$$

and with the mid-point prescription for both terms. Consquently, the term ΔS_b is to be interpreted as

$$\Delta S_b = \frac{i\eta}{2\hbar}\left[X^2 - Y^2\right]_i^f \tag{19}$$

which is a pure boundary contribution.

Of the three terms in (15) it is the average of $\mathcal{I}^{(3)}$ which has the most physical immediate meaning, as it must

tend to $\overline{\beta\eta\int v^2 dt}$ when $\dot{X}$ and $\dot{Y}$ are approximated by a classical velocity v. To a friction force $-\eta v$ corresponds a reaction force ηv from the system on the bath, and the work done by this force is $\eta\int v^2 dt$. The entropy production from $\mathcal{I}^{(3)}$ is therefore $\overline{\beta\delta Q_{friction}}$, where $\delta Q_{friction}$ is the energy (heat) transferred from the system to the bath, as announced in Introduction.

VI. ANALYSIS OF THE CLASSICAL LIMIT

To further analyze the classical limit we use, as in [26], the Markov property of Feynman-Vernon propagator, in this limit. First it is convenient to introduce auxiliary variables $q=\frac{X+Y}{2}$, $\alpha=Y-X$ and $\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q_i,\alpha_i)=K(X_f,Y_f,X_i,Y_i)$, and the Wigner transform of K, written as

$$P=\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^d\int e^{\frac{ip_f\alpha_f}{\hbar}-\frac{ip_i\alpha_i}{\hbar}}\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q_i,\alpha_i,t_f,t_i) \tag{20}$$

This P satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation, and is hence the transition probability of a classic stochastic process starting at (q_i,p_i) at time t_i, and ending up in (q_f,p_f) at time t_f [26] (see below). It is further convenient to introduce the two overlaps

$$f_i(q_i,p_i)=\int d\alpha_i e^{\frac{ip_i\alpha_i}{\hbar}}\psi_i(q_i-\frac{\alpha_i}{2})\psi_i^*(q_i+\frac{\alpha_i}{2}) \tag{21}$$

$$f_f(q_f,p_f)=\int d\alpha_f e^{\frac{ip_f\alpha_f}{\hbar}}\psi_f(q_f-\frac{\alpha_f}{2})\psi_f^*(q_f+\frac{\alpha_f}{2}) \tag{22}$$

which we assume to be as for coherent states integrated against functions depending sufficiently weakly on phase space, *i.e.* $f_i\approx(2\pi\hbar)^d\delta(q_i-\overline{q_i})\delta(p_i-\overline{p_i})$ and $f_f\approx(2\pi\hbar)^d\delta(q_f-\overline{q_f})\delta(p_f-\overline{p_f})$. The quantum mechanical transition probability P_{if} is then approximately $2\pi\hbar P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},t_f,t_i)$.

For a system with Hamiltonian $H_S=\frac{P^2}{2M}+V(X)$ the short-time density matrix propagator, for $\tilde{K}$ and from (q,α) at time $t_i=t_f-\Delta s$ to (q',α') at time t_f, is

$$\tilde{K}_{\Delta s}(\cdot)=\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar\Delta s/M}\right)^d e^{-\frac{iM\Delta q\Delta\alpha}{\hbar\Delta s}+\frac{i}{\hbar}\eta\alpha'\Delta q} e^{\Delta s\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}V(q'-\frac{\alpha'}{2})+\frac{i}{\hbar}V(q'+\frac{\alpha'}{2})-\frac{\eta}{\beta\hbar^2}(\alpha')^2\right)} \tag{23}$$

where $\Delta q=q'-q$ and $\Delta\alpha=\alpha'-\alpha$, the arguments on the left hand side are understood and the contribution from S_i has been evaluated with the post-point prescription. The Chapman-Kolmogorov equation for $\tilde{K}$ is, expanding in the increments,

$$\tilde{K}(\cdot)=\int d\Delta q d\Delta\alpha\tilde{K}_{\Delta s}(q_f,\alpha_f,q_f-\Delta q,\alpha_f-\Delta\alpha,\cdot)\sum_{nm}\frac{(-\Delta q)^n(-\Delta\alpha)^m}{n!m!}\partial_q^n\partial_\alpha^m\tilde{K}(\cdot,t_f-\Delta s,t_i) \tag{24}$$

The integral over Δq of a term proportional to $(\Delta q)^n$ in (24) gives, using (23), $(\frac{i\hbar\Delta s}{M})^n\delta^{(n)}(\Delta\alpha-\frac{\eta}{M}\alpha'\Delta s)$. A term proportional to $(\Delta\alpha)^m$ in (24) can be expanded as $\sum_l\binom{m}{l}(\Delta\alpha-\frac{\eta}{M}\alpha'\Delta s)^l(\frac{\eta}{M}\alpha'\Delta s)^{m-l}$ and when integrated over $\Delta\alpha$ this gives zero unless $l=n$. Using $m=l=0$, $m=1$ and $l=0$ and $m=l=1$ equation (24) can hence be written

$$\partial_t\tilde{K}=\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}V(q-\frac{\alpha}{2})+\frac{i}{\hbar}V(q+\frac{\alpha}{2})-\frac{\eta}{\beta\hbar^2}(\alpha)^2\right)\tilde{K} -i\frac{\hbar}{M}\partial_{q\alpha}^2\tilde{K}-\frac{\eta}{M}\alpha\partial_\alpha\tilde{K} \tag{25}$$

which is the Lindblad equation derived in [26]. For the Wigner transform (25) gives

$$\partial_t P=-\partial_q(\frac{p}{M}P)+\eta\partial_p\left(\frac{p}{M}P\right)+\frac{\eta}{\beta}\partial_{pp}P +\partial_p(V'(q)P)+\mathcal{O}(\hbar^2,V''') \tag{26}$$

which is, up to terms of order $\hbar^2$, the Fokker-Planck equation of classical stochastic dynamics with friction coefficient η [26]. We proceed to treat the three functionals in (15) in an analogous manner. Higher-order corrections to Fokker-Planck equation derived here (finite $\hbar\beta$ corrections) are briefly discussed in Appendix B.

A. The $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}$ contribution

At given initial and final positions of the system, (q_i,α_i) and (q_f,α_f), the path integrals in (12) give for the $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}$ part

$$\int ds\int dqd\alpha\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q,\alpha,t_f,s)(-\frac{\eta}{\beta\hbar^2}\alpha^2) \tilde{K}(q,\alpha,q_i,\alpha_i,s,t_i)$$

Combining this with the integrals over initial and final positions and (21) and (22) we can write

$$\int dq_i dp_i dq_f dp_f f_i f_f^*\int ds\int dqd\alpha dpdp' P(q_f,p_f,q,p',t_f,s) \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{2d}}(-\frac{\eta}{\beta\hbar^2}\alpha^2)e^{\frac{ip'\alpha}{\hbar}-\frac{ip\alpha}{\hbar}}P(q,p,q_i,p_i,s,t_i)$$

The term $(-\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\alpha^2)$ can be interpreted as $\partial^2_{p'p'}$ acting on $e^{\frac{ip'\alpha}{\hbar}}$ and by integration by parts this gives

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}\int dq_i dp_i dq_f dp_f f_i f_f^*\int ds\int dqdp \frac{\eta}{\beta}\partial^2_{pp}P(q_f,p_f,q,p,\cdot)P(q,p,q_i,p_i,\cdot)$$

The classical limit of the contribution of $\overline{\delta S_q}$ from $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}$ is therefore

$$\frac{\int ds\int dqdpP(q,p,\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},s,t_i)\frac{\eta}{\beta}\partial^2_{pp}P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},q,p,t_f,s)}{P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},t_f,t_i)} \tag{27}$$

In Section VII below we show how this can be given an interpretation as an average $\overline{\delta S_{var}}$ over the stochastic process.

B. The $\frac{2i}{\hbar}S_i^{(mid)}$ contribution

For the $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ contribution we have from the $\frac{2i}{\hbar}S_i^{(mid)}$ part in equation (17)

$$\int ds \int dqd\alpha dq' d\alpha' \tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q',\alpha',t_f,s)\frac{2\eta i}{\hbar\Delta s}\Delta q(\alpha + \frac{\Delta\alpha}{2})\tilde{K}_{\Delta s}(q',\alpha',q,\alpha,\cdot)\tilde{K}(q,\alpha,q_i,\alpha_i,s,t_i)$$

where we have used the mid-point prescription, following the discussion around equation (18). Focusing first on the pre-point term (the term in the inner parenthesis proportional to α), we use, similarly to (24), the short-time expression (23) and the expansion

$$\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q',\alpha',t_f,t)= \sum_{nm}\frac{(\Delta q)^n(\Delta\alpha)^m}{n!m!}\partial_q^n\partial_\alpha^m\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q,\alpha,t_f,t) \quad (28)$$

The integral over Δq gives $(\frac{i\hbar\Delta s}{M})^{n+1}\delta^{(n+1)}(\Delta\alpha - \frac{\eta}{M}\alpha'\Delta s)$ for a term in the expansion proportional to $(\Delta q)^n$. The only contribution of order Δs is $n=0$ and $m=l=1$ which gives

$$\frac{2\eta}{M}\int ds\int dqd\alpha\,\partial_\alpha\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q,\alpha,t_f,s)\,\alpha\tilde{K}(q,\alpha,q_i,\alpha_i,s,t_i)$$

Combining this with the integrals over initial and final positions as above we have

$$\int dq_i dp_i dq_f dp_f f_i f_f^* \int ds\int dqd\alpha\,\partial_\alpha\left(\int dp' e^{\frac{ip'\alpha}{\hbar}}P(\cdot)\right)\frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{2d}}(\frac{2\eta}{M}\alpha)\int dp e^{-\frac{ip\alpha}{\hbar}}P(q,p,q_i,p_i,s,t_i))$$

The factor α can be interpreted as $-\frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_p$ acting on $e^{\frac{ip\alpha}{\hbar}}$ and the derivative moved then to the last P, while the derivative ∂_α brings down $ip'/\hbar$ multiplying the first P. Combining these terms gives

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^d\int dq_i dp_i dq_f dp_f f_i f_f^*\int ds\int dqdp\,\partial_p P(q_f,p_f,q,p,\cdot)\frac{2\eta}{M}p\partial_p P(q,p,q_i,p_i,\cdot) \quad (29)$$

The classical contribution from the first term in $\frac{2i}{\hbar}S_i^{(mid)}$ to $\overline{\delta S_q}$ is therefore

$$\frac{\int dsdqdpP(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},q,p,\cdot)\frac{2\eta}{M}p\partial_p P(q,p,\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},\cdot)}{P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},t_f,t_i)} \quad (30)$$

The second term in (17) (term in inner parenthesis proportional to $\Delta\alpha$) is on the other hand

$$\int ds\int dqd\alpha dq' d\alpha'\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q',\alpha',t_f,s)(\frac{\eta i}{\hbar\Delta s})(\Delta\alpha\Delta q)\tilde{K}_{\Delta s}(q',\alpha',q,\alpha,\cdot)\tilde{K}(q,\alpha,q_i,\alpha_i,s,t_i)$$

The only contribution of order Δs is then $n=m=0$ and $l=1$ which gives

$$\int ds\int dqd\alpha\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q,\alpha,t_f,s)(-\frac{\eta}{M})$$
$$\tilde{K}(q,\alpha,q_i,\alpha_i,s,t_i) = -\frac{(t_f-t_i)\eta}{M}\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q_i,\alpha_i,t_f,t_i)$$

This leads to the very simple classical contribution:

$$-\frac{\eta}{M}(t_f-t_i) \quad (31)$$

By one integration by parts (30) and (31) can be combined to

$$\frac{\int dsdqdpP(q,p,\cdot)(-\frac{2\eta}{M}p\partial_p-\frac{\eta}{M})P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},q,p,\cdot)}{P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},t_f,t_i)} \quad (32)$$

We will show in Section VII that (32) together are nothing but $\overline{\beta\delta Q_{noise}}$, where δQ_{noise} is the energy (heat) transferred from the system to the bath by the random force.

C. The ΔS_b contribution

To compute the classical limit of this term we consider directly the Wigner transform P of the Feynman-Vernon propagator over the whole time interval and interpret $\frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha_i$ multiplying $\tilde{K}$ as $-\partial_{p_i}$ acting on P, and similarly $\frac{i}{\hbar}\alpha_f$ as ∂_{p_f}. This gives

$$(-\eta)\frac{(\overline{q_i}\partial_{p_i}+\overline{q_f}\partial_{p_f})P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},t_f,t_i)}{P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},t_f,t_i)} \quad (33)$$

As (33) is complete differential (not a proper functional), it is obviously very different from a classical entropy production term, and more akin to a change in state function. In addition, it depends explicitly on initial and final position for which there is no analogy in stochastic thermodynamics. We will return to a discussion of (33) in Section VIII below.

D. The $\mathcal{I}^{(3)}$ contribution

For the $\mathcal{I}^{(3)}$ part we finally have

$$\int ds\int dqd\alpha dq' d\alpha'\tilde{K}(q_f,\alpha_f,q',\alpha',\cdot)(\frac{\beta\eta}{(\Delta s)^2})\left((\Delta q)^2-\frac{1}{4}(\Delta\alpha)^2\right)\tilde{K}_{\Delta s}(q',\alpha',q,\alpha,\cdot)\tilde{K}(q,\alpha,q_i,\alpha_i,\cdot)$$

The integral over Δq of the term in $(\Delta q)^2$ in the inner parenthesis can be evaluated as $(\frac{i\hbar\Delta s}{M})^{n+2}\delta^{(n+2)}(\Delta\alpha - \frac{\eta}{M}\alpha'\Delta s)$ which selects $n=0$ and $m=l=2$. The combination of pre-factors multiplying $\partial^2_{\alpha\alpha}\tilde{K}$ is then $\frac{\beta\eta}{M^2}(-\hbar^2)$ and as above we can interpret $-\hbar^2\partial^2_{\alpha\alpha}\tilde{K}$ to be p^2 acting

on P. This gives a classical contribution to $\overline{\delta S_q}$ from $\mathcal{I}^{(3)}$ as

$$\frac{\int ds \int dqdpP(q,p,\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},s,t_i)\beta\eta(\frac{p}{M})^2 P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},q,p,t_f,s)}{P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i},t_f,t_i)} \tag{34}$$

As already remarked above, this quantity is $\overline{\beta Q_{friction}}$.

The remaining term $-\frac{1}{4}(\Delta\alpha)^2$ in the inner parenthesis above selects $l = n = 0$ and $m = 2$ giving $\beta\eta(-\frac{1}{2})(\frac{\eta}{M})^2\alpha^2$. This is the same contribution as from $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}$, up to the dimensionless factor $-(\frac{\beta\eta\hbar}{2M})^2$. Since $\beta\hbar$ is the decorrelation time of the bath and η/M is the (mesoscopic) Langevin relaxation time of the system this factor must be very small in the set-up considered here, and can therefore be ignored.

VII. INTERPRETATIONS AS STOCHASTIC FUNCTIONALS

The purpose of this Section if to interpret all the terms derived above except ΔS_b as expectation values with respect to the (classical) Kramers-Langevin process. We begin with the term from $\mathcal{I}^{(3)}$ in (34), and express it symbolically as

$$\frac{\int_{q_i,p_i}^{q_f,p_f}\mathcal{D}(\text{path})\text{Prob(path)}\left(\int\beta\eta(\frac{p_s}{M})^2 ds\right)}{\int_{q_i,p_i}^{q_f,p_f}\mathcal{D}(\text{path})\text{Prob(path)}} \tag{35}$$

The ratio $\text{Prob(path)}/\int_{q_i,p_i}^{q_f,p_f}\mathcal{D}(\text{path})\text{Prob(path)}$ is the conditional probability that a given path is chosen among the set that starts at (q_i,p_i) and ends up at (q_f,p_f). Therefore we may give the interpetation

$$\text{Eq (34)} = \text{E}[\int\beta\eta(\frac{p_s}{M})^2 ds|q_i,p_i,q_f,p_f] \tag{36}$$

which is $\overline{\beta\delta Q_{friction}}$ – as already obtained by a simpler argument above.

For the term in (32) we want to compare to the energy transferred to the bath from the system by the fluctuating force. To the Fokker-Planck equation corresponds a Kramers-Langevin equation

$$\dot{p} = F - \eta\frac{p}{M} + F_{noise} \qquad \dot{q} = \frac{p}{M} \tag{37}$$

with a random force $F_{noise} = \sqrt{2k_BT\eta}\dot{\zeta}$ where $d\zeta$ is a standard Wiener increment. To this force there is a reaction force $-F_{noise}$ from the system on the bath and the infintessimal energy transferred to the bath is the work done by this force, $-\sqrt{2k_BT\eta}\dot{\zeta}\circ dq$, where $\circ$ indicates the mid-point (Stratonovich) presecription. The average of the (classical) entropy production due to the random force is thus

$$\overline{\beta Q_{noise}} = \text{E}\left[\int -\beta\frac{p}{M}\circ\sqrt{2k_BT\eta}d\zeta|q_i,p_i,q_f,p_f\right] \tag{38}$$

Using alternatively $F_{noise} = \dot{p} - F + \eta\frac{p}{M}$ we should hence compute

$$\int_{q_i,p_i}^{q_f,p_f}\mathcal{D}(\text{path})\text{Prob(path)}\left[\int -\beta\frac{p}{M}\circ\left(dp - Fds + \eta\frac{p}{M}\right)\right]$$

We do this by discretizing the time in steps $t_i = t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_N = t_f$ and using the propagator of the Kramers-Langevin equation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N}\int dq_n dp_n dq_{n-1} dp_{n-1}\int -\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{2M}$$
$$\beta\left(p_n - p_{n-1} - F_n ds + \eta\frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{2M}ds\right)$$
$$P(q_{n-1},p_{n-1},\overline{q_i},\overline{p_i})P(q_n,p_n,q_{n-1},p_{n-1})P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},q_n,p_n)$$

The short-time propagator is

$$P_{\Delta s}(\cdot) = \delta(q' - q - \frac{p'+p}{2M}\Delta s)f(\cdot)$$
$$f(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}}e^{-\frac{1}{4k_BT\eta\Delta s}\left(p'-p-F\Delta s+\eta\frac{p'+p}{2M}\Delta s\right)^2}$$

and we therefore have

$$\beta\left(p' - p - F\Delta s + \eta\frac{p'+p}{2M}\Delta s\right)P_{\Delta s} = -2\eta\Delta s\delta(\cdot)\partial_{p'}f$$

Integration by parts gives three terms where the derivate $\partial_{p'}$ is moved respectively to $\delta(\cdot)$, $\frac{p'+p}{2M}$ or $P(\overline{q_f},\overline{p_f},q',p')$. The first term will be overall quadratic in Δs, and the other two can be compared to (32). Hence we have indeed that (32) is equal to $\overline{\beta Q_{noise}}$. Combining (36) and (39) we have $\overline{\delta S_{env}}$, the average of the entropy production in stochastic thermodynamics, as announced in the Introduction.

The term from $\mathcal{I}^{(1)}$ in (27) can also be given a probabilistic interpretation, albeit not a standard one in stochastic thermodynamics. We start by observing that the increments of a standard Wiener process are Gaussian distributed and that for an unconstrained average $\text{E}[(d\zeta)^2] = ds$ with no term of order $(ds)^2$. If however we average over the paths of the stochastic process that start at (q_i,p_i) and end at (q_f,p_f) we can have

$$\frac{\int_{q_i,p_i}^{q_f,p_f}\mathcal{D}(\text{path})\text{Prob(path)}\frac{\left(dp-Fds+\eta\frac{p}{2M}ds\right)^2}{2k_BT\eta}}{\int_{q_i,p_i}^{q_f,p_f}\mathcal{D}(\text{path})\text{Prob(path)}}$$
$$= ds + b[q,p,s|q_i,p_i,q_f,p_f](ds)^2 \tag{39}$$

with a non-trivial coefficient b. We relate the expression in (27) to such a term by observing, in analogy to (39), that

$$\frac{\left(p' - p - F\Delta s + \eta\frac{p'+p}{2M}\Delta s\right)^2}{2k_BT\eta}P_{\Delta s} =$$
$$\delta(\cdot)\left(2k_BT\eta(\Delta s)^2\partial^2_{p'p'}f + \Delta s f\right) \tag{40}$$

By two integrations by parts we therefore find that (27) is also an average over the stochastic process

$$\overline{\delta S_{var}} = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds\, \frac{b}{2}[q,p,s|q_i,p_i,q_f,p_f] \tag{41}$$

where b is defined by the ansatz in (39). Formally we could also write (41) as

$$\overline{\delta S_{var}} = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} ds \frac{1}{2}\,\text{Finite}[F^2_{noise}] \quad \text{(Formal)}$$

where we mean the finite remainder after a term diverging as $(ds)^{-1}$ has been subtracted from the random force squared.

VIII. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF ΔS_b

The most surprising term that have come from the above analysis is the ΔS_b given in (33), both because it is like a change in a state function, and also because of its dependence on initial and final position. We will here consider this term in the limit of weak coupling. As this is essentially a classical problem we will adopt the dimension-less units introduced below in Appendix A where the Kramers-Langevin equation reads

$$\dot{p} = f - \gamma p + \sqrt{2\gamma}\dot{\zeta} \qquad \dot{q} = p \tag{42}$$

where ζ is standard white noise and where

$$\Delta S_b = -\gamma \left(q_i \partial_{p_i} + q_f \partial_{p_f}\right) \log P \tag{43}$$

where P is the transition probability of (42). We will only consider the case when t, the duration of the process, is of order one in (42) (t on the order of t_{osc}, the characteristic time of the system, in the original dimensional variables), and γ tending to zero. After the limit in γ is taken we could also allow t to become long. We note that the opposite limit where first t is taken long and then γ is taken to zero is physically more interesting, but also mathematically considerably more complicated [30, 31], and outside the scope of this discussion.

Let (q_f^*, p_f^*) be the final position and momentum at time t of the classical conservative system defined by (42) when $\gamma = 0$, starting from (q_i, p_i). If $\Delta q_f = q_f - q_f^*$ and $\Delta p_f = p_f - p_f^*$ are the deviations of the actual final positions from the classical path we assume $P(q_f, p_f, q_i, p_i)$ to be a Gaussian distribution, *i.e.*

$$P(\cdot) \sim e^{-\frac{1}{\gamma t}\Sigma} \tag{44}$$

where

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\Delta p_f, \Delta q_f) C^{-1} (\Delta p_f, \Delta q_f)^T \tag{45}$$

and where C is the correlation matrix of the deviations in units of γt. The argument for this is linearizing (42) to

$$\dot{\Delta p} = f'(q)\Delta q - \gamma \Delta p + \sqrt{2\gamma}\dot{\zeta} \qquad \dot{\Delta q} = \Delta p \tag{46}$$

and noting that if we ignore the terms $f'(q)\Delta q$ and $\gamma \Delta p$, the distribution of of $(\Delta p, \Delta q)$ is that of Brownian motion and integral of Brownian motion. These two are jointly Gaussian distributed, with (note that γt is explicitly included in (44))

$$\Sigma = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t/2 \\ t/2 & t^2/3 \end{pmatrix} \text{(Brownian motion)}$$

Under these assumptions we have the two contributions to ΔS_b as

$$\frac{1}{t} q_f \partial_{p_f} \Sigma = \frac{1}{t} q_f \left(C_{11}^{-1} \Delta p_f + C_{12}^{-1} \Delta q_f\right) \tag{47}$$

$$\frac{1}{t} q_i \partial_{p_i} \Sigma = \frac{1}{t} q_i (-\frac{\partial p_f^*}{\partial p_i}, -\frac{\partial q_f^*}{\partial p_i}) C^{-1} (\Delta p_f, \Delta q_f)^T \tag{48}$$

These terms do not go to zero with γ. On the other hand, $(\Delta p_f, \Delta q_f)$ is by assumption of typically of order $\sqrt{\gamma t}$, and the probability that ΔS_b is of order one hence exponentially small in γ^{-1}.

IX. DISCUSSION

In this contribution we have a computed a entropy production in a bath coupled to a driven quantum system defined as the change of the von Neumann entropy of the bath. Except for the (central) assumption that the bath is comprised of a set of harmonic oscillators initially in thermal equilibrium and interacting linearly with the system, the analysis is general. A main result is that this entropy production can be written as a (quantum) expectation value of three functionals given in equations (13) and (14).

The classical limit of these functionals is however puzzling. While we do recover $\overline{\delta S_{env}}$, the average over a classical Kramers-Langevin process and for a finite time of the standard entropy functional δS_{env}, we also find another functional which we have here called $\overline{\delta S_{var}}$, and a boundary contribution ΔS_b. The latter is hence not a proper functional but more akin to change in the internal state of the system. As we have shown above, in the weak coupling limit ΔS_b does not vanish except when the initial and final points are on the same classical path, an unsatisfactory result from a physical point of view. A possible way out is that in this limit, and the assumptions made, the system is (almost) conservative and follows (nearly) the classical equations of motion. Deviations from the classical path leading to non-zero ΔS_b are very rare and mean that the random force F_{noise} from the bath has a considerable effect on the system, even if this force has a very small amplitude. The degrees of freedom of the bath must then exercise some kind of coordinated action which is untypical of a thermal state, and the starting assumption made in Eq. (1), that it suffices to consider a first-order change of the density operator of the bath away from thermal equilibrium, is at least questionable. More work will be needed to find out if this is a viable explanation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

E.A. thanks Karol Życzkowski, Jakub Zakrzewski and the participants of the conference "AV60", Rome, Italy (September 2014) for valuable discussions, and the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics China (October 2014) for hospitality. This research is supported by the Swedish Science Council through grant 621-2012-2982, and by the Academy of Finland through its Center of Excellence COIN.

Appendix A: Time scales

In this Appendix we discuss for completeness what means the assumption that $\hbar\beta$ is much smaller than all times scales of interest in the system. Our starting point is that the short-time expression of the Feynman-Vernon propagator (23) should then be valid for $\Delta s = \hbar\beta$. This is so for any constant potential V hence a restriction from the system can only come from spatial variations of V. We use that the increments that matter are those for which $(\Delta X)^2 \sim \frac{\hbar^2\beta}{M}$ and $(\Delta Y)^2 \sim \frac{\hbar^2\beta}{M}$. and assume that $V = EU(q/\ell)$ where E is a characteristic energy scale, ℓ is a characteristic length, and U is a dimension-less function of order unity. The variation of the potential term in the action – with respect to constant potential – over a time $\hbar\beta$ is then

$$\delta\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_s^{s+\hbar\beta} V(q'_s)ds'\right) \approx (\hbar\beta)\cdot\frac{E}{\ell\hbar}\cdot\left(\frac{\hbar^2\beta}{M}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad \text{(A1)}$$

The condition that (A1) is much less than one means

$$\hbar\beta << (\beta E)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\cdot\sqrt{\frac{M}{E}}\ell \qquad \text{(A2)}$$

The second term on the right-hand side is a characteristic time of the system t_{osc} which can alternatively be found as the period of an oscillation, assuming that the potential somewhere has a quadratic minimum such that $V \sim \frac{1}{2}\frac{E}{\ell^2}(q-q^*)^2$. The first term on the right-hand side is dimension-less, and by the standard assumption of stochastic thermodynamics that thermal and mechanic energy are comparable it can be taken of order unity.

We hence have three characteristic times: $\hbar\beta$, which is a property of the bath, t_{osc}, which is a property of the system, and the Langevin relaxation time M/η, which is a property of the interaction between the system and the bath, and by assumption $\hbar\beta << t_{osc}$ and $\hbar\beta << M/\eta$. Introducing the dimension-less ratio $\gamma = \frac{\eta t_{osc}}{M}$, the new dimension-less variables $t \to \frac{t-t_i}{t_{osc}}$, $q \to q/\ell$, $p \to pt_{osc}/M\ell$, and writing the force $F = \frac{1}{\beta\ell}f(\xi)$ where $f(\xi) = -U'(\xi)$, we have the Kramers-Langevin equation as given in (42) in the main text.

Appendix B: Higher-order corrections to the Caldeira-Leggett theory

In this appendix we compare the the first correction to the Fokker-Planck equation (26) which stems from the third-order derivative of the potential, to a higher-order correction to the Caldeira-Leggett theory. It is easily seen that the third-order term is $-\frac{\hbar^2}{24}\partial_{ppp}(V^{(3)}P)$. The Wigner function would hence to this order obey a partial differential equation, albeit not a Fokker-Planck equation, and we will see that this is true for the higher-order correction to the Caldeira-Leggett theory as well.

The starting point in computing the higher-order correction is to expand the coth function in the Feynman-Vernon action S_r to next order *i.e.* $\coth(\frac{\beta\hbar\omega}{2}) = \frac{2}{\beta\hbar\omega} + \frac{1}{6}\beta\hbar\omega + \ldots$. This gives a first-order correction $\sum_b \frac{C_b^2\beta\hbar}{12m_b}\cos\omega_b(s-s')$ to the kernel k_r. A term in this kernel independent of frequency will in the limit tend to the second derivative of a delta function (as we have seen above for $\mathcal{I}^{(3)}$) which hence gives a first-order correction $-\frac{\beta\eta\hbar}{6}\int\dot{\alpha}^2 ds$ to S_r. This is a kinetic term, which hence gives a correction to the Feynman-Vernon short-time propagator $e^{-\frac{\beta\eta\hbar}{6\Delta s}(\Delta\alpha)^2}$, smaller than the main kinetic term by the dimension-less ratio $\frac{\beta\hbar}{M/\eta}$. We assume this ratio small and expand the correction to the kinetic term as $1-\frac{\beta\eta\hbar}{6\Delta s}(\Delta\alpha)^2+\ldots$ and carry out the integration over Δq as in (24). The presence of an additional term $(\Delta\alpha)^2$, which can be expanded as $(\Delta\alpha - \frac{\eta}{M}\alpha'\Delta s)^2 + \ldots$ allows for a second-order term $(\Delta q)^2$ in the expansion in (24), which then results in an additional term $\frac{\hbar}{6M}\frac{\beta\eta\hbar}{M}\partial^2_{qq}\tilde{K}$ in (25). That leads a Wigner transform obeying (26) plus a term $\frac{\hbar}{6M}\frac{\beta\eta\hbar}{M}\partial^2_{qq}P$ which has the meaning of a diffusion acting directly on position.

To differentiate the two terms derived in this section, which are both quadratic in $\hbar$, we use the dimension-less variables introduced in Appendix A above and write the generalization of (26) as

$$\partial_t P = -\partial_q(pP) - \partial_p\left((f-\gamma p)P\right) + \gamma\partial_{pp}P + (\frac{\hbar\beta}{t_{osc}})^2\left(\frac{1}{24}\partial_{ppp}(f^{(2)}P) + \frac{\gamma}{6}\partial_{qq}P\right) + \text{h.o.t}$$

where $\gamma = \eta t_{osc}/M$ is the ratio of the characteristic time of the system and the Langevin relaxation time. In principle the higher-order correction to the Caldeira-Leggett theory is hence more important than expanding the potential V if either γ is much larger than unity, or if $f^{(2)} \approx 0$. The first case however corresponds to the overdamped limit classically described by spatial diffusion with diffusion coefficient γ^{-1}, which is much larger than the spatial diffusion term derived here unless $M/\eta \sim \hbar\beta$, while one of the assumptions made above was that $M/\eta >> \hbar\beta$. Therefore it is only consistent to retain only the higher-order correction if the potential is approximately harmonic, as then in fact $f^{(2)} \approx 0$.

[1] D. J. Evans and D. J. Searles, Phys. Rev. E **50**, 1645 (1994)

[2] G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 2694 (1995)

[3] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2690 (1997)

[4] E. Sevick, R. Prabhakar, S. R. Williams, , and D. J. Searles, Annual Review of Physical Chemistry **59**, 603 (2008)

[5] C. Jarzynski, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **2** (2011), doi:"bibinfo doi 10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-062910-140506

[6] U. Seifert, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75** (2012)

[7] K. Sekimoto, *Stochastic Energetics*, Lect. Notes Phys., Vol. 799 (Springer, 2010)

[8] R. Chetrite and K. Gawędzki, Commun. Math. Phys. **282**, 469 (2007), 0707.2725

[9] C. Maes, J. Stat. Phys. **95**, 367 (1999)

[10] K. Gawędzki, "Fluctuation relations in stochastic thermodynamics," Lectures given at the Mathematics Department of Helsinki University, November 2012, arXiv:1308.1518

[11] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 5018 (Nov 1997)

[12] J. Kurchan, J. Phys. A **31**, 3719 (1998)

[13] J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. **95**, 333 (1999)

[14] M. Esposito, U. Harbola, and S. Mukamel, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81** (2009)

[15] M. Campisi, P. Hängggi, and P. Talkner, Rev Mod Phys **83**, 771 (2011)

[16] J. Kurchan, "TBD," arXiv:cond-mat/0007360

[17] A. E. Rastegin and K. Życzkowski, Phys. Rev. E **89** (2014)

[18] B. Leggio, A. Napoli, A. Messina, and H.-P. Breuer, Physical Review A **88** (2013)

[19] F. Hekking and J. Pekola, Phys Rev Lett **111** (2013)

[20] J. M. Horowitz and J. M. Parrondo, New J. Phys **15** (2013), arXiv:1305.6793

[21] R. Chétrite and K. Mallick, J Stat Phys **148** (2012)

[22] A. J. Roncaglia, F. Cerisola, and J. P. Paz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 250601 (Dec 2014), http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.250601

[23] R. P. Feynman and F. Vernon, Ann. Phys. (USA) **24**, 118 (1963)

[24] M. Esposito, K. Lindenberg, and C. V. den Broeck, New Journal of Physics **12** (2010), doi:"bibinfo doi 10.1088/1367-2630/12/1/013013

[25] L. Pucci, M. Esposito, and L. Peliti, J. Stat. Mech.(2013)

[26] A. Caldeira and A. Leggett, Physica A **121** (1983), doi: "bibinfo doi 10.1016/0378-4371(83)90013-4

[27] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems Hardcover* (Oxford University Press, 2002)

[28] I. Bengtsson and K. Życzkowski, *Geometry of Quantum States* (Cambridge University Press, 2006) ISBN 978-0-521-81451-5,978-0-521-89140-0

[29] D. Chruściński and G. Sarbicki, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **47**, 483001 (2014), http://stacks.iop.org/1751-8121/47/i=48/a=483001

[30] M. I. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell, Stochastic Process. Appl. **113**, 101 (2004), ISSN 0022-4715

[31] K.-D. Lam and J. Kurchan, Journal of Statistical Physics **156**, 619 (2014), ISSN 0022-4715, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10955-014-1030-y